

UPR-0508T

UM-TH-92-13

May 1992

QCD CORRECTIONS TO THE CHARGED-HIGGS-BOSON DECAY OF A HEAVY TOP QUARK

JIANG LIU

Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104

YORK-PENG YAO

Randall Laboratory of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109

ABSTRACT

It is shown that up to an over all scale the lowest-order QCD corrections to $t \rightarrow H^+b$ and to $t \rightarrow W^+b$ are the same in the heavy top limit. Asymptotically, they are given by $-\frac{4\alpha_s}{3\pi}[\frac{\pi^2}{3} - \frac{5}{4}]$, resulting in a reduction in the decay rate by about 9%, rather than 6% reported previously in the literature. This is verified explicitly by an analytic calculation. The application of the equivalence theorem to this process is also discussed.

PACS# 12.38.Bx

1. Introduction

If the top quark t decays according to the standard model, the CDF experiment has set a lower limit¹ of 91 GeV . A stringent constraint can also be obtained from high-precision measurements: the result of a global fit to all available data concluded² $m_t = 149^{+26}_{-31} \text{ GeV}$. With such a heavy mass, the discovery of the top quark may result in a rich harvest of physics results,³ and the study of top decay may even provide a window to some new physics. One particularly interesting example would be the decay $t \rightarrow H^+ b$ if kinematically allowed, where H^+ is a charged-Higgs-boson that occurs when more than one (non-singlet) Higgs representation are included in the theory. H^+ must exist in a supersymmetric model, and in many others.⁴ In this paper we wish to study the lowest-order QCD corrections to this decay mode.

The lowest-order QCD corrections to the decay $t \rightarrow H^+ b$ have been calculated before.⁵ However, the result of that calculation is erroneous. This, for reasons to be explained below, can be most easily seen by examining its asymptotic behavior. Given the potential interest of observing the decay at high-energy colliders, it is necessary to have a more careful calculation of its order $O(\alpha_s)$ QCD corrections. We find that for a heavy top the QCD corrections introduce a reduction in the decay rate by about 9%, which differs substantially from the earlier result of 6% of Ref. 5.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section we discuss the lowest-order QCD corrections to the decay $t \rightarrow bH^+$ in the heavy top quark limit. It is shown that up to an overall scale the result is the same as for $t \rightarrow bW^+$. The lowest-order QCD corrections to the decay $t \rightarrow bW^+$ have been calculated independently by several groups,⁶⁻¹⁰ and all agree with each other. Thus, by

employing the equivalence theorem¹¹ the heavy-top-limit result for $t \rightarrow bH^+$ can be obtained from the existing result of Refs. 6-10. The justification for applying the equivalence theorem to this particular calculation is also discussed.

In section 3 we provide an explicit calculation for arbitrary m_t and m_{H^+} , where m_t and m_{H^+} are the masses of the top quark and the charged-Higgs-boson respectively, but taking $m_b = 0$ for simplicity. The result shows the expected asymptotic behavior. Our conclusion is given in section 4, and a few technical details are summarized in the Appendix.

2. Asymptotic Result

The lowest-order QCD corrections to $t \rightarrow bH^+$ and to $t \rightarrow bW^+$ are related for the following reasons. Consider the heavy top quark limit. The tree-level interaction Lagrangian for the decay $t \rightarrow bH^+$ is given by

$$L_{H^+} = -\eta \left(\frac{m_t}{m_{H^+}} \right) \bar{b} R t H^- + h.c., \quad (2.1)$$

where η is a dimensionless constant determined by the specific theoretical model, and $R = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma_5)$. (2.1) is a simplification of the interactions of a large class of theoretical models by neglecting terms directly proportional to m_b . The important feature here is that to the lowest-order interactions η , m_{H^+} and the Higgs field H^+ do not receive QCD corrections, because to this order the gluons only interact with the quarks.

This feature is also shared by the interaction Lagrangian

$$L_{\phi^+} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{m_t}{M_W} \right) \bar{b} R t \phi^- + h.c. \quad (2.2)$$

for the Higgs goldstone boson ϕ^+ in the limit $m_t \gg m_b$. As a consequence, up

to an over all scale determined by their interaction strength difference, the lowest-order QCD corrections to $t \rightarrow bH^+$ are the same as to $t \rightarrow b\phi^+$ if the calculation of the latter is carried out (to be specific) in the Feynman-'t Hooft gauge and M_W is replaced by m_{H^+} . In addition, the amplitude for $t \rightarrow b\phi^+$ is related to that for $t \rightarrow bW^+$ by a Ward identity, which in the Feynman-'t Hooft gauge is

$$M_W A(t \rightarrow b\phi^+) = k^\mu A_\mu(t \rightarrow bW^+), \quad (2.3)$$

where $A(t \rightarrow b\phi^+)$ and $\epsilon^\mu(k)A_\mu(t \rightarrow bW^+)$ are the amplitudes for $t \rightarrow b\phi^+$ and $t \rightarrow bW^+$, respectively, and $\epsilon^\mu(k)$ is the W polarization vector. Thus, knowing the QCD corrections to the Green's function A_μ for the decay $t \rightarrow bW^+$ one immediately obtains the result A for $t \rightarrow b\phi^+$ from (2.3).

However, (2.3) does not necessarily imply that such relations hold also for the decay rate. In fact, in the absence of CP violation the one-loop QCD corrected interaction Lagrangian

$$L_{eff} = \bar{b}(p')\Gamma_\mu t(p)W^\mu(k) \quad (2.4)$$

with an on-shell b - and t -quark and an arbitrary W has three independent form factors which may be parameterized as F_1, F_2 and F_3

$$\Gamma_\mu = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ F_1(k^2) \gamma_\mu L - N_c \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \right) \left[F_2(k^2) i\sigma_{\mu\nu} k^\nu + F_3(k^2) k_\mu \right] m_t R \right\}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $N_c = 4/3$ and $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]$. The F_3 term in $A_\mu(t \rightarrow bW^+)$ provides a nonzero contribution to $A(t \rightarrow b\phi^+)$ via (2.3) but not to the $t \rightarrow bW^+$ decay rate because $\epsilon^\mu k_\mu = 0$. By contrast, the anomalous moment term F_2 contributes to $t \rightarrow bW^+$ but not to $A(t \rightarrow b\phi^+)$ because $\sigma_{\mu\nu} k^\mu k^\nu = 0$. Thus, the complete

lowest-order QCD corrections to the decay rate for $t \rightarrow bW^+$ are not the same as to that for $t \rightarrow b\phi^+$.

Nevertheless, to the leading order in m_t the aforementioned difference disappears in the limit $m_t \rightarrow \infty$. This follows because m_t is the only heavy scale in question, and on dimensional grounds one has from (2.5) that $\lim_{m_t \rightarrow \infty} F_{2,3}/F_1 = m_t^{-2}$. Indeed, explicit calculations show that both F_2 and F_3 vanish to the leading order in m_t . F_1 and F_2 have been given explicitly in Refs. 7, 8

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(M_W^2) &= 1 - N_c \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \right) \Delta_0, \\ F_2(M_W^2) &= -\frac{1}{2M_W^2} \ln \left(1 - \frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_0 &= 2 + \left(\frac{3}{2} + \ln \frac{\mu^2}{M_W^2} \right) \ln \frac{m_b m_t}{m_t^2 - M_W^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_t^2} + \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_b^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\ln^2 \frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2 - M_W^2} + \ln^2 \frac{m_t^2}{m_t^2 - M_W^2} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \left(\ln^2 \frac{m_t^2}{M_W^2} + \ln^2 \frac{m_b^2}{M_W^2} \right) + Sp \left(\frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

$\mu \rightarrow 0$ is a fictitious gluon mass and $Sp(x) = \int_0^1 dy \ln y / (y - x^{-1})$ is the Spence function. These results are valid when $m_b M_W / (m_t^2 - M_W^2) \ll 1$. F_3 has not been given explicitly before. From a straightforward calculation we find

$$F_3(M_W^2) = \frac{1}{M_W^2} \left[\left(\frac{m_t^2}{M_W^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \ln \frac{m_t^2}{m_t^2 - M_W^2} - 1 \right]. \quad (2.8)$$

From (2.6) and (2.8) one sees

$$\lim_{m_t \rightarrow \infty} F_2(M_W^2) = \lim_{m_t \rightarrow \infty} F_3(M_W^2) = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

in accordance with the dimensional argument. As a result, in this limit the rates for $t \rightarrow bW^+$ and $t \rightarrow b\phi^+$ plus their QCD corrections are in fact the same, and the former can be calculated from the equivalence theorem.

The result for $t \rightarrow bW^+$ is known (Refs. 6 - 10)

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(t \rightarrow bW^+) = \Gamma_0(t \rightarrow bW^+) \left\{ 1 - N_c \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right) \left[Sp \left(\frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2} \right) - Sp \left(1 - \frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2} \right) + \frac{\pi^2}{2} \right] \right\} \\ - \frac{G_F m_t^3}{8\sqrt{2}\pi} N_c \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right) \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2} - 2 \frac{M_W^4}{m_t^4} \right) \frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2} \ln \frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2} \right)^2 \left(5 + 4 \frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2} \right) \ln \left(1 - \frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2} \right) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2} \right) \left(5 + 9 \frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2} - 6 \frac{M_W^4}{m_t^4} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where $\Gamma_0(t \rightarrow bW^+) = G_F(m_t^2 - M_W^2)^2(1 + 2M_W^2/m_t^2)/8\sqrt{2}\pi m_t$ is the tree-level rate. In (2.10) the contribution from a virtual gluon exchange is

$$\Gamma(t \rightarrow bW^+)_{virt} = \Gamma_0(t \rightarrow bW^+) \left\{ 1 - N_c \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right) \left[\Delta_0 - \frac{3}{2} \left(1 + 2 \frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2} \right)^{-1} \ln \frac{m_t^2}{m_t^2 - M_W^2} \right] \right\}, \quad (2.11)$$

where the Δ_0 term is due to F_1 , and the last term is due to the anomalous moment F_2 . The real gluon emission contribution to (2.10) is

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(t \rightarrow bW^+ g)_{real} = \frac{G_F M_W^2}{8\sqrt{2}\pi m_t} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right) N_c \left\{ \frac{(m_t^2 - M_W^2)^2}{M_W^2} \left(1 + 2 \frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2} \right) \left[\Delta_0 - \ln \left(1 - \frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2} \right) \right] \right. \\ \left. + Sp \left(1 - \frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2} \right) - Sp \left(\frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2} \right) - \frac{\pi^2}{2} \right] \\ \left. + M_W^2 \left(\frac{m_t^2}{M_W^2} - 2 \frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2} - 1 \right) \ln \frac{m_t^2}{M_W^2} \right. \\ \left. + (m_t^2 - M_W^2) \left(\frac{27}{12} + \frac{5}{4} \frac{m_t^2}{M_W^2} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

The condition for the validity of (2.12) is $m_b m_t / (m_t^2 - M_W^2) \ll 1$.

Turning back to $t \rightarrow bH^+$, the tree-level decay rate from (2.1) is

$$\Gamma_0(t \rightarrow bH^+) = \frac{\eta^2}{32\pi} \left(\frac{m_t^2}{m_{H^+}^2} - 1 \right)^2 \left(\frac{m_{H^+}}{m_t} \right)^2 m_t. \quad (2.13)$$

We already know that up to an overall scale its lowest-order QCD correction is the same as for $t \rightarrow b\phi^+$ (with the exchange of M_W by m_{H^+}), and the latter is identical to $t \rightarrow bW^+$ in the heavy top limit. In fact, one can show that such relations also hold for the virtual- and real-gluon emission contributions separately. From (2.10), we have

$$\lim_{m_t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma(t \rightarrow bW^+)}{\Gamma_0(t \rightarrow bW^+)} = 1 - N_c \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right) \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} - \frac{5}{4} \right), \quad (2.14)$$

where we have made use of $Sp(0) = 0$ and $Sp(1) = \pi^2/6$, and hence

$$\lim_{m_t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma(t \rightarrow bH^+)}{\Gamma_0(t \rightarrow bH^+)} = 1 - N_c \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right) \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} - \frac{5}{4} \right). \quad (2.15)$$

Compared with the result given by Ref. 5 in the limit $m_t \rightarrow \infty$, Eq. (2.15) has a different constant, $5/4$. In Ref. 5 that constant is $9/4$.

3. Explicit Calculation

In this section we verify (2.15) by an explicit calculation. For simplicity, we will ignore the bottom quark mass m_b , but allow m_t and m_{H^+} to be arbitrary.

QCD corrections from a virtual gluon exchange introduce a correction to the interaction vertex, wave function renormalizations to t and b , and a mass renormalization to m_t . They have been calculated explicitly in Ref. 7. The result is

$$\Gamma(t \rightarrow bH^+)_{virt} = \Gamma_0(t \rightarrow bH^+) \left\{ 1 - N_c \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right) \left[\Delta_0 + \left(\frac{m_t^2}{m_{H^+}^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \ln \frac{m_t^2}{m_t^2 - m_{H^+}^2} - 1 \right] \right\}. \quad (3.1)$$

The last term of (2.11), which arises from the anomalous moment F_2 , is now replaced in (3.1) by the F_3 term (with the exchange of $M_W \leftrightarrow m_{H^+}$). As we

expected, in the limit $m_t \rightarrow \infty$ both F_2 and F_3 vanish and the QCD corrections in (2.11) and (3.1) are the same.

The calculation for the decay $t \rightarrow bH^+g$ with a real gluon emission is also straightforward. We find (details can be found in the Appendix)

$$\Gamma(t \rightarrow bH^+g)_{real} = \Gamma_0(t \rightarrow bH^+)N_c\left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)\left[\Delta_0 - \ln\left(1 - \frac{m_{H^+}^2}{m_t^2}\right) + Sp\left(1 - \frac{m_{H^+}^2}{m_t^2}\right) - Sp\left(\frac{m_{H^+}^2}{m_t^2}\right) + \frac{m_{H^+}^2}{m_t^2 - m_{H^+}^2} \ln \frac{m_t^2}{m_{H^+}^2} + \frac{5}{4} - \frac{\pi^2}{2}\right]. \quad (3.2)$$

Again, comparing (3.2) and (2.12) we see that in the heavy top limit their QCD corrections are identical. It then follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that the final result for $t \rightarrow bH^+$ including its lowest-order QCD corrections is

$$\Gamma(t \rightarrow bH^+) = \Gamma_0(t \rightarrow bH^+)\left\{1 - N_c\left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)\left[\left(\frac{5}{2} - \frac{m_t^2}{m_{H^+}^2}\right) \ln\left(1 - \frac{m_{H^+}^2}{m_t^2}\right) - \frac{m_{H^+}^2}{m_t^2 - m_{H^+}^2} \ln \frac{m_t^2}{m_{H^+}^2} + Sp\left(\frac{m_{H^+}^2}{m_t^2}\right) - Sp\left(1 - \frac{m_{H^+}^2}{m_t^2}\right) + \frac{\pi^2}{2} - \frac{9}{4}\right]\right\}, \quad (3.3)$$

which is free from infrared and collinear divergences. It reduces to (2.15) in the limit $m_t \rightarrow \infty$. Although (3.3) is obtained by taking $m_b = 0$, one can show that it remains as a good approximation as long as

$$\frac{m_b m_t}{m_t^2 - m_{H^+}^2} \ll 1. \quad (3.4)$$

Eq. (3.3) differs from the result of Ref. 5 by a term $-(m_t^2/m_{H^+}^2) \ln(1 - m_{H^+}^2/m_t^2)$ in the squared brackets. This missing term approaches to 1 in the heavy top limit. Numerically, the QCD corrections given by (3.3) turn out to be about -9% (for $\alpha_s = 0.1$) if the top quark is very heavy, rather than -6% reported in Ref. 5.

4. Conclusion

We have calculated the lowest-order QCD corrections to the decay $t \rightarrow bH^+$. A simple analytic result is obtained for $m_b m_t / (m_t^2 - m_{H^+}^2) \ll 1$. It is shown that for a heavy top quark, the order $O(\alpha_s)$ QCD corrections reduce the tree-level rate of the decay $t \rightarrow bH^+$ by about 9% (for $\alpha_s = 0.1$) rather than 6% reported previously in the literature.

Following an observation that the lowest-order QCD corrections to the interactions $\bar{b}tH^-$ and $\bar{b}t\phi^-$ (in the Feynman-'t Hooft gauge) are identical in the heavy top limit up to an over all scale, we have shown that asymptotically the lowest-order QCD corrections to $t \rightarrow bH^+$ and to $t \rightarrow bW^+$ are the same again up to an over all scale. We also verified explicitly that the anomalous form factors F_2 and F_3 vanish in leading order, and as a result the leading term of the QCD corrections to $t \rightarrow bW^+$ in the heavy top limit can be calculated from the equivalence theorem.

Acknowledgements: We wish to thank Paul Langacker for valuable discussions and comments. This work was supported in part by the U. S. Department of Energy, contract DE-AC02-76-ERO-3071 (J. L.) and DE-AC02-76-ERO-1112 (Y. P. Y.).

APPENDIX

In this Appendix we give some details for the calculation of $\Gamma(t \rightarrow bH^+g)_{real}$. The result for this decay with only a soft gluon emission is known (Ref. 7). The rate for $t \rightarrow bH^+g$ with a hard gluon emission has also been calculated numerically before.¹² These results are sensitive to the infrared- and the collinear-cut determined by the experiment apparatus. Here we will present an analytic calculation that takes both the soft and the hard gluon emission into account. The calculation will be carried out in the limit $m_b = 0$. The condition for the validity of its result can be extended to that given by (3.4) of the text.

The matrix element of the decay $t(p) \rightarrow b(p')H^+(k)g(q)$ is

$$M(t \rightarrow bH^+g) = \eta g_s \epsilon^\nu(q) \left(\frac{m_t}{m_{H^+}} \right) \bar{u}_b(p') \left[R \frac{1}{\not{p} - \not{q} - m_t} \gamma_\nu + \gamma_\nu \frac{1}{\not{p}' + \not{q} - m_b} R \right] u_t(p), \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where for simplicity we have not displayed the color matrix $\lambda/2$ explicitly. The spin-summed matrix square is

$$-\frac{N_c \eta^2 g^2}{8m_t m_b} \left(\frac{m_t}{m_{H^+}} \right)^2 [I_1 + I_2 + I_3], \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1}{[(p-q)^2 - m_t^2]^2} \left[-8[p' \cdot (p-q)][p \cdot (p-q)] + 4(p-q)^2(p \cdot p') \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 16m_t^2[p' \cdot (p-q)] - 4m_t^2(p \cdot p') \right], \\ I_2 &= \frac{1}{[(p'+q)^2 - m_b^2]^2} \left[-8[p' \cdot (p'+q)][p \cdot (p'+q)] + 4(p'+q)^2(p \cdot p') \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 16m_b^2[p \cdot (p'+q)] - 4m_b^2(p \cdot p') \right], \\ I_3 &= \frac{1}{[(p-q)^2 - m_t^2][(p'+q)^2 - m_b^2]} \left[16[p \cdot (p'+q)][p' \cdot (p-q)] - 8m_t^2[p' \cdot (p'+q)] \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

It then follows that

$$\Gamma(t \rightarrow bH^+g)_{real} = -\frac{N_c\eta^2 g_s^2}{4(2\pi)^5 m_t} \left(\frac{m_t}{m_{H^+}}\right)^2 [\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3], \quad (A.4)$$

where

$$\Gamma_{1,2,3} = \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}'}{2p'_0} \frac{d^3\vec{q}}{2q_0} \frac{d^3\vec{k}}{2k_0} \delta^4(p - p' - q - k) I_{1,2,3}. \quad (A.5)$$

To evaluate (A.5), we employ the standard method of decomposing a three-body phase space integral into products of two-body phase space integrals. Introducing a fictitious gluon mass μ to regularize the infrared singularity, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &= \frac{\pi^2}{4m_t^2} \int_{(m_{H^+}+m_b)^2}^{(m_t-\mu)^2} da^2 \frac{\lambda^{1/2}(m_t^2, a^2, \mu^2) \lambda^{1/2}(a^2, m_{H^+}^2, m_b^2)}{a^2(a^2 - m_t^2)^2} \\ &\quad \times \left[-2(a^2 - m_{H^+}^2)(a^2 + m_t^2) + 8m_t^2(a^2 - m_{H^+}^2) + \frac{(a^2 - m_{H^+}^2)(a^4 - m_t^4)}{a^2} \right] \\ &= -\frac{\pi^2}{m_t^2} \left[(m_t^2 - m_{H^+}^2)^2 \left(1 + \ln \frac{\mu m_t}{m_t^2 - m_{H^+}^2} \right) - \frac{m_t^2 m_{H^+}^2}{2} \left(1 + \frac{5}{2} \frac{m_{H^+}^2}{m_t^2} \right) \ln \frac{m_t^2}{m_{H^+}^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{m_t^2 - m_{H^+}^2}{4} \left(\frac{5}{2} m_{H^+}^2 + \frac{9}{2} m_t^2 \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (A.6)$$

where $\lambda(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 2(xy + xz + yz)$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_2 &= \frac{\pi^2}{4m_t^2} \int_{(m_b+\mu)^2}^{(m_t-m_{H^+})^2} db^2 \frac{\lambda^{1/2}(m_t^2, b^2, m_{H^+}^2) \lambda^{1/2}(b^2, m_b^2, \mu^2)}{b^2(b^2 - m_b^2)^2} \\ &\quad \times (m_t^2 + b^2 - m_{H^+}^2) \left[-2b^2 + 6m_b^2 + \frac{b^4 - m_b^4}{b^2} \right] \\ &= -\frac{\pi^2}{m_t^2} \left[(m_t^2 - m_{H^+}^2)^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\mu^2(m_t^2 - m_{H^+}^2)}{m_t m_b^3} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{4} (2m_t^2 m_{H^+}^2 - m_{H^+}^4) \ln \frac{m_t^2}{m_{H^+}^2} - \frac{3}{8} (m_t^2 - m_{H^+}^2) \left(m_t^2 - \frac{5}{3} m_{H^+}^2 \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (A.7)$$

The calculation of Γ_3 is most complicated, we find

$$\Gamma_3 = \frac{\pi^2}{m_t^2} \int_{(m_b+\mu)^2}^{(m_t-m_{H^+})^2} db^2 \frac{\lambda^{1/2}(m_t^2, b^2, m_{H^+}^2)}{b^2 - m_b^2} \left\{ \frac{\lambda^{1/2}(b^2, m_b^2, \mu^2)}{b^2} (m_t^2 - m_{H^+}^2 + b^2) \right. \\ \left. + \left[(m_t^2 - m_{H^+}^2 + b^2)(m_t^2 - m_{H^+}^2 + m_b^2) - m_t^2(b^2 + m_b^2) \right] J_0 \right\}, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

where

$$J_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/2}(m_t^2, b^2, m_{H^+}^2)} \\ \times \ln \frac{(b^2 - m_b^2 + \mu^2)(m_t^2 + b^2 - m_{H^+}^2) - \lambda^{1/2}(m_t^2, b^2, m_{H^+}^2)\lambda^{1/2}(b^2, \mu^2, m_b^2)}{(b^2 - m_b^2 + \mu^2)(m_t^2 + b^2 - m_{H^+}^2) + \lambda^{1/2}(m_t^2, b^2, m_{H^+}^2)\lambda^{1/2}(b^2, \mu^2, m_b^2)}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Neglecting terms of the order of and smaller than $m_b m_t / (m_t^2 - m_{H^+}^2)$, we find from (A.8) and (A.9)

$$\Gamma_3 = -\frac{\pi^2}{m_t^2} \left\{ (m_t^2 - m_{H^+}^2)^2 \left[\left(2 + \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_{H^+}^2} \right) \ln \frac{m_b m_t}{m_t^2 - m_{H^+}^2} - \frac{1}{4} \left(\ln^2 \frac{m_b^2}{m_{H^+}^2} + \ln^2 \frac{m_t^2}{m_{H^+}^2} \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left(\ln^2 \frac{m_t^2}{m_t^2 - m_{H^+}^2} + \ln^2 \frac{m_{H^+}^2}{m_t^2 - m_{H^+}^2} \right) + Sp \left(1 - \frac{m_{H^+}^2}{m_t^2} \right) - \frac{\pi^2}{2} + 1 \right] \right. \\ \left. + 2m_t^2 m_{H^+}^2 \ln \frac{m_t^2}{m_{H^+}^2} - \frac{1}{2} m_t^4 \left(1 - \frac{m_{H^+}^2}{m_t^2} \right) \left(1 + 3 \frac{m_{H^+}^2}{m_t^2} \right) \right\}. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Substituting (A.6), (A.7) and (A.10) into (A.4), we obtain the result given by (3.2) of the text.

REFERENCES

1. F. Abe et. al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 447 (1992).
2. P. Langacker, University of Pennsylvania preprint, UPR-0492T (1992).
3. G. Bagliesi et. al., ‘ *Top Quark Physics-Experimental Aspects*’, CERN preprint, CERN-PPE/92-05 (1992).
4. For a recent review see for example: J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, G. Kane, and S. Dawson, ‘*The Higgs Hunter’s Guide*’, Addison-Wesley (1990).
5. C. S. Li and T. C. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D42, 3088 (1990).
6. M. Jezabak and J. H. Kuhn, Nucl. Phys. B314, 1 (1989); *ibid*, B320, 20 (1989).
7. J. Liu and Y. P. Yao, University of Michigan preprint, UM-TH-90-09 (1990) (unpublished).
8. J. Liu and Y. P. Yao, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A6, 4925 (1991).
9. A. Czarnecki, Phys. Lett. B252, 467 (1990).
10. C. S. Li, R. J. Oakes, and T. C. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D43, 3759 (1991).
11. J. M. Cornwall, D. N. Levin and G. Tiktopoulos, Phys. Rev. D10, 1145 (1974); M. S. Chanowitz and M. K. Gaillard, Nucl. Phys. B261, 379 (1985); H. Veltman, Phys. Rev. D41, 2294 (1990); For general gauges and subtractions see: Y. P. Yao and C. P. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D38, 2234 (1988).
12. J. Reid, G. Tupper, G. Li, and M. S. Samuel, Z. Phys. C51, 395 (1991).